# American Pragmatism

University of Chicago, Assistant to Anubav Vasudevan, Fall 2017

#### Rate your C.A.'s performance – how well was the C.A. able:

- 1. to explain the course material?
  - a. Not Applicable (0) 0%
    - b. Poorly (0) 0%
    - c. Adequately (1) 7.14%
    - d. Quite Well (6) 42.86%
    - e. Excellently (7) 50%
- 2. to conduct discussions?
  - a. Not Applicable (0) 0%
  - b. Poorly (0) 0%
  - c. Adequately (7) 50%
  - d. Quite Well (1) 7.14%
  - e. Excellently (6) 42.86%
- 3. to respond to questions and comments?
  - a. Not Applicable (0) 0%
  - b. Poorly (0) 0%
  - c. Adequately (0) 0%
  - d. Quite Well (6) 42.86%
  - e. Excellently (8) 57.14%
- 4. to respond to written material?
  - a. Not Applicable (1) 7.14%
  - b. Poorly (0) 0%
  - c. Adequately (0) 0%
  - d. Quite Well (3) 21.43%
  - e. Excellently (10) 71.43%

### What were the special strong points of your course assistant?

- 1. detailed feedback on papers and openness to discuss all the questions posed. Brought in ties to other major thinkers, which was very helpful
- 2. Excellent at explaining material, knew tons of interesting stuff about this era of American philosophy
- 3. very approachable, very chill

- 4. Great discussion leader. I especially appreciated his thorough and thoughtful comments on my midterm paper.
- 5. Very good at leading discussions, offered alternative formulations + ... of the material. That was very helpful.
- 6. Lawrence was really good at explaining concepts clearly and directly answering students questions. He is incredibly knowledgeable and was able to apply this knowledge to the course.
- 7. He brought in interesting insights from outside the assigned readings and was good at synthesizing thoughts or ideas to show where they fit in the historical landscape of ideas.
- 8. Lawrence did a good job of answering students' questions with material and I was pleased with the paper feedback quality.
- 9. He was very approachable and responded well to questions
- 10. He provided good explanations and made the environment very comfortable for discussion.
- 11. Obviously knows material + open to feedback
- 12. LIKEABLE, CASUAL WITHOUT BEING TOO INFORMAL
- 13. explained concepts very well, provided own insight, led discussion in a meaningful direction while also accommodating questions and answering them well
- 14. Lawrence's discussions were very different from Anubav's lectures, which helped provide a complementary approach to the readings. His impeccable handwriting on papers is a plus
- 15. The C.A. was very good at responding to students and staying close to the text while also bringing in other authors when necessary.

#### What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions?

- 1. Be more structured in terms of discussion sections and address main concerns of certain papers read in class.
- 2. Maybe plan discussion sections a bit more to avoid awkward silences
- 3. I can't really think of anything ... Would love to have him again.
- 4. Maybe assign questions to students sometimes students didn't seem prepared (not his fault!)

- 5. Discussion felt kind of like question and answer, maybe he could lead discussion more purposefully or stuck on specific arguments for longer
- 6. The class could've done better with guiding questions, though this is largely a result of not making it through the syllabus
- 7. I think he could have come with more materials to our discussion sections because sometimes we ran out of information to discuss
- 8. He could start by asking us a question and leading the discussion that way.
- 9. I would suggest trying to foster a discussion among the students, especially if the students don't have clarification questions
- 10. The C.A. perhaps strayed from the professor significantly, which has positive elements but the views were sometimes hard to parse.

- 1972	n gan
THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO HUMANITIES	DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY 1115 EAST 58TH STREET CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637
	TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259
	WEB: philosophy.uchicago.cdu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.cdu
COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR	STUDENTS TO COMPLETE
Course name, number and section: American Bragn	natismette PHIL 20116
Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dallaran	
Today's Date: <u>17 Nov 2017</u> Your Year: <u>4</u> th	Major:Philosophy
I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? <u>diturn</u>	soron section and
grading papers	
II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C.A. able:	
A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adequately poorly	(not applicable)
B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately poorly	(not applicable)
C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately poorly	(not applicable)
D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adequately poorly	(not applicable)
III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant?	detailed feedback
on papers and appenness to a	trains all the guestions
posed. Brought in thes to other of was very helpful.	major hishkes, Which
17, What could your course assistant have done better. ouggestions _	1
In terms of discussion sections	and address mass
comerno of certain gapes.	read th class.

	•		-
THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO		-	
HUMANITIES .		TEL: (773) 702-8 FAX: (773) 702-5	
		WEB: philosophy. E-MAIL: philosoph	uchicago.edu hy-department@listhost.uchicago.ed
COURSE ASSISTANT EVAL		· · · · · ·	
Course name, number and section:		Agritin 10	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Quarter and Y	'ear: <u>+a\( (7</u>
Today's Date: <u>11/17/17</u> Your Year:	- qth	Major: Philosoph	)
I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this cou	irse? <u>Grady</u>	F-min rection	
I. Rate your C.A.'s performance – how well was t	he C.A. able:		
A. to explain the course material:	he C.A. able: yuately poorly	(not applicable	e)
A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adea B. to conduct discussions:			•
A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adec B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adec C. to respond to questions and comments:	quately poorly	(not applicable	),
A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adec B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adec C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adeq D. to respond to written material:	quately poorly quately poorly	(not applicable) (not applicable) (not applicable)	)
A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adec B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adec C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adeq D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adeq	quately poorly quately poorly quately poorly quately poorly	(not applicable) (not applicable)	)
A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adec B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adec C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adeq D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adeq	quately poorly quately poorly quately poorly uately poorly use assistant?	(not applicable (not applicable) (not applicable) Excellent L	) ) expl-nig materia
A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adec B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adec C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adeq D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adeq I. What were the special strong points of your cou	quately poorly quately poorly quately poorly uately poorly use assistant?	(not applicable (not applicable) (not applicable) Excellent L	) ) expl-nig materia
Excellently quite well adeq B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adeq C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adeq D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adeq I. What were the special strong points of your cou	quately poorly quately poorly quately poorly uately poorly urse assistant?	(not applicable (not applicable) (not applicable) Excellent L	) ) expl-nig materia

.

. . .

THEUNIVERSITYOF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637 DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY 1115 EAST 58TH STREET CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637
HUMANITIES TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259
WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhosr.uchicago.edu
COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE 20116
Course name, number and section: <u>American Pragmatism</u>
Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dallman Quarter and Year: Auton 2017
Today's Date: 1/17/17 Your Year: 4th Major: Scont Philip
I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Und discussion sections
helpgrade papers
II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C.A. able:
A. to explain the course material; excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? ven approachable,
very chill
IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? Maybe plan
discussion sections a bit more to
avoid antenard silences
PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO STUART 202.

.

Ē

	21972	
CHICAGO		DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY 11 15 EAST 58TH STREET CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637
HUMANITIES '		TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259
		WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu
COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATIO		•
Course name, number and section: American		
Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dalln	nan Q	uarter and Year: <u>Fall 2017</u>
Today's Date: 11/17/17. Your Year: 4th	Major:	Philosophy
I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course?	eading di	usion, grading
papers w/ comments		
II. Rate your C.A.'s performance - how well was the C.A.	able:	
A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adequately	poorly (r	iot applicable)
B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately	poorly (r	ot applicable)
C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately	poorly (n	ot applicable)
D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adequately		ot applicable)
III. What were the special strong points of your course assis		/ A
I especially appreciated his	thorough	and thoughtful
comments on my midten pa	pec	11 11 1
V. What could your course assistant have done better? Sugg	gestions? <u>I</u>	an't really Think
of anything Would love t	o have the	they him again,

DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY THE UNIV OF E. 1115 EAST 58TH STREET CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637 т TES N I TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259 WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE MI Mahsu Course name, number and section: Quarter and Year: Fall 2017 awriau Man Course Assistant's Name: Arh Today's Date: 📗 .117 Your Year: Major: I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? \_ about paper II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able: A. to explain the course material: (not applicable) adequately poorly excellently quite well B. to conduct discussions: (not applicable) adequately poorly . excellently quite well C. to respond to questions and comments: (not applicable) adequately excellently quite well poorly D. to respond to written material: poorly adequately excellently quite well III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? MULANON IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions?

	.* .
THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637	1 1 7
HUMANITIES TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259	•
WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu	
COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE	
Course name, number and section: 20116 American Przymatism	
Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dallman Quarter and Year: Fall 2017	:
Today's Date: Nov. 17 Your Year: 4th Major: History and Philos	ophy
I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Lead disc ussion sections	ا جسند 2
and grade papers	-
II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C.A. able:	-
A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)	
B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)	
C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)	
D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)	
III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? <u>Lawrence was really</u>	-
good at explaining concepts clearly and directly	
and whis able to apply this knowledge tothe convert. IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions?	
Maybe assign questions to students	
Maybe assign questions to students Sometimes students did wt seem prepared (hot h fault	is
PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO STUART 202.	-!/

= ....

THEUNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO		DEPARTMENT OF PH 1115 BAST 58TH STREET CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 6063	
HUMANITIES .		TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259	
		WEB: philosophy.uchicago.ed E-MAIL: philosophy-departm	lu ent@listhost.uchicago.edu
COURSE ASSISTANT EV	ALUATION: FOR	STUDENTS TO COM	PLETE
Course name, number and section:	merican Pragma	lism <u>20100</u>	20116
Course Assistant's Name:	ic Dallmon	Quarter and Year:	Autum 2017.
Today's Date: 11/17/17 Your Y	ear: 3	Major: fundomental	5
I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this	course? <u>Rugning</u>	discussion, egrad	lig
II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well v A. to explain the course material; excellently quite well	vas the C.A. able: adequately poorly	(not applicable)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well	adequately poorly	(not applicable)	• • • • • • • • • •
C. to respond to questions and comment excellently quite well	nts: adequately poorly	(not applicable)	
D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well a	dequately poorly	(not applicable)	
III. What were the special strong points of your	course assistant?	7/e Brought in int	ereshy
insights from outside the assis	jud reallys,	and were good at	sy nille sit up.
thoughts or ideas to show w			
IV. What could your course assistant have done	better? Suggestions?	piscussion felt he	nt.
of like quistion and angures	maybe he u	ould have lead dis.	iusion
more forty purpose Bully			

----

PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO STUART 202.

T. H. E. U. N. I. V. E. R. S. I. T. Y. O. F. C. H. I. C. A. G. O. DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY 1115 EAST 58TH STREET CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637
HUMANITIES TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259
WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu
COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE
Course name, number and section: <u>American Pragmatism</u> , Phil 2020116
Course Assistant's Name: <u>Course Dallman</u> Quarter and Year: <u>Fall 17</u>
Today's Date: 11/17/17 Your Year: 4 Major: Philosophy
I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? <u>Paper feedback + leading</u>
II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C.A. able:
A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant?
105. of answere students questions with material;
and I was pleased with the paper teedbag "
IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? The class ?
could're done better with guiding giestions,
though this is largely a result of not making it through
the sylatics

-

Ξ.....

THEUNIVERSITYOF CHICAGO HUMANITIES	DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY 1 115 EAST 58TH STREET 1 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637
	TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259
	WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu
COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATIO	N: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE
Course name, number and section:	American Pragmatism
Course Assistant's Name: <u>Lawrence Da</u>	11morn Quarter and Year: Automn 2017
Today's Date: $\frac{11}{17}/2017$ Your Year: $3^{vd}$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course?	
Section	
II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C.A. a	ble:
A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adequately	poorly (not applicable)
B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately.	poorly (not applicable)
C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately	poorly (not applicable)
D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adequately	poorly (not applicable)
III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant	nt?
He was very approal	unsil and responded
well to yustons.	
uIV. What could your course assistant have done better? Sugges	
I think he could here	come with more
materials to our discussion we range in formation	
We range in Formation PLEASE RETURN THIS FC	LAD do'S CUSS DRM TO STUART 202.

:

:

.

a alca a an

THEUNIVERSITYOF CHICAGO	DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY 11 15 EAST 58TH STREET CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637
HUMANITIES '	TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259
	WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu
COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR ST	TUDENTS TO COMPLETE
Course name, number and section: <u>AMERICAN</u> <u>PRA</u>	-GMA9 ISM, 21600,2
Course Assistant's Name: Dahlbach DallMah	Quarter and Year: <u>Fall 2011</u>
Today's Date: 1/17/17 Your Year: 2 M	ajor: Und e dated
I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? $for (1) + or for for for for for for for for for $	ing discussion
sections, grading	1
II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C.A. able:	
A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adequately poorly	(not applicable)
B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately poorly	(not applicable)
C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately poorly	(not applicable)
D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adequately poorly	(not applicable)
III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant?	e provided good
explanations and made the en	Aton Meht Very
comfortable for discussion,	
IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions?	could start by
asking us a question and leadil	by the distussion
that way.	1
	T LA DT 202

-

· · · · · · ·

-

;

;

;

1

.....

ş

ii F

Ξ.....

-

. ÷... DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY тне UNIV OF 1115 EAST 58TH STREET CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637 T ES н N т ï TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259 WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE Course name, number and section: American Pragmatism Ga: 2:30 Seef. PHIL 2016 Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dallman Quarter and Year: tall Major: Philosoph Today's Date: <u>||</u> 10B Your Year: \_\_ Leading I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? <u>Discussion Sections</u> C(MS II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able: A. to explain the course material: (not applicable) poorly adequately excellently quite well B. to conduct discussions: (not applicable) poorly . excellently quite well adequately C. to respond to questions and comments: (not applicable) excellently quite well adequately poorly D. to respond to written material: (not applicable) poorly adequately excellently quite well Drioust III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? + open to feedback material IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? would discussion among the students truina to the students don't have clarification avestions PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO STUART 202.

	•		
an anna An anna	- 7	•	· · ·
THE UNIVERSITY OF	•	DEPARTMENT O	F PHILOSOPHY
		1115 EAST 58TH STI	
C H I C A G O	÷.,	CHICAGO, ILLINOI	
HUMANITIES		•	
		TEL: (773) 702-8513	· ′
		FAX: (773) 702-5259	•
	•	warman 1.4 . Lauradore	s . 
		WEB: philosophy.uchic	ago.edu partment@listhost.uchicago.edu
· · ·	, ,	E-MAIL: piniosophy-de	partiticin (on a transmission of the second s
COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUA	TION: FOR ST	UDENTS TO CL	IMPLETE
			•
A I I I A AMERI	(AN) DEAGANA	TICH PHIL 2	1600(2)
Course name, number and section: <u>AMERI</u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
•			
Course Assistant's Name: <u>LAWRENCE</u>	ALLMAN	Quarter and Year:	FALL 2011
	· •	• •	
Today's Date: 11/17/2017 Your Year:	'4TH Ma	ajor: PHILOSOP	HY
Today's Date: Toda Teat	·····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
	in a set of the set	ALCUSCIDA).	CRADING
I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course?	LEADING D	130035101010	
		•	
PAPERS		·····	
	• A		
II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C	A. able:		
	1	÷ .	. ,
A. to explain the course material:	•		•
excellently quite well adequat	ely poorly	(not applicable)	
excentinity quite went autquat	er for for the former of the f		
		•	•
B. to conduct discussions:	-	1. 11.)	
excellently quite well (adequate	ely) poorly	(not applicable)	<b>y</b> -
•			
C. to respond to questions and comments:	•		· .
	ly poorly	(not applicable)	•
excellently quite well adequate	ry poony	(not applicable)	• .
D. to respond to written material:			•
excellently) quite well adequate	ly poorly	(not applicable)	•
Calculation dance went accidente	-/ 1	• • • •	•
	دا متسب	KEABLE, C	ASUAL
II. What were the special strong points of your course a	assistanti		·····
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			•
WITHOUT BEING TOU INFORM	1 PTL	•	
	. \	10-	, ,
V. What could your course assistant have done better? S	Suggestions? <u>N</u>	IIPr	
······································	00		
			•
	·		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u></u>		
			<b>,</b>

- ...

	· · · · · ·
THEUNIVERSITYOF	DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY
CHICAGO	1115 EAST 58TH STREET CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637
HUMANITIES '	TEL: (773) 702-8513
	FAX: (773) 702-5259
	WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu
COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATI	ION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE
Course name, number and section:	Cican Prugmutism 21600 02
Course name, number and section:	Dallman Quarter and Year: Fall 2017
Course Assistant & Name:	Al students
Today's Date: 1/17/17 Your Year: 3	Major: Philusophy
I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course?	Lead discussion
oral e Pape()	
II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C.A	. able:
A. to explain-the course material:	
excellently quite well adequately	poorly (not applicable)
B. to conduct discussions:	
excellently quite well adequately	poorly (not applicable)
C. to respond to questions and comments:	t (
excellently quite well adequately	poorly (not applicable)
D. to respond to written material:	1 (
excellently quite well adequately	
II. What were the special strong points of your course assis	istant? <u>explained</u> concepts
VICY Well, Me Plovider	istant? <u>I direction</u> while also rins phen well /A
discussion in a meaning f	al direction while also
accommodating questions and answer	rins them well A
V. What could your course assistant have done better? Sug	ggestions:

THEUNIVERSITYOF CHICAGO HUMANITIES	DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY 1115 EAST 58TH STREET CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637 TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259 WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy.department@listhost.uchicago.edu
COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUI	DENTS TO COMPLETE
Course name, number and section: American procomption . P	HIL 1160, Surian 2
	Quarter and Year: _ Antom '17
Today's Date: <u>11/15/17</u> Your Year: <u>4</u> <sup>th</sup> Major	· .
I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Lew discussion	
II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C.A. able: A. to explain the course material:	(not applicable)
C. to respond to questions and comments:	(not applicable)
D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adequately poorly	(not applicable) (not applicable)
III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant?	veres Discussions
voce very sifterent from Anabar's Lectures, which helped	pourse a complementing
approach to the realized Hit imperable harmoning of IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions?	papers is a phys
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637
Н U M A N I T I E S TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259
WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu
COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE
Course name, number and section: American Pragmatism Section #2
Course Assistant's Name: 1000000 Dallanaia Quarter and Year: Fall 2011
Today's Date: 17 Now Dorr Your Year: 3rd Major: philos phy
I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? The C.A. helped grade the
pavels and led discission.
II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C.A. able:
A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant?
and at responding to students and status
close to the text while also bringing in other authors
IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? <u>Che Che Perhaps</u> accessor
Haven from the professor significantly which
has possible claments but the views were
PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO STUART 202.