

Course Assistant Feedback for Lawrence Dallman

Heidegger's *Being and Time*, Division I

University of Chicago, Assistant to Raoul Moati, Fall 2018

Rate your C.A.'s performance – how well was the C.A. able:

1. to explain the course material?
 - a. Not Applicable (0) – 0%
 - b. Poorly (0) – 0%
 - c. Adequately (0) – 0%
 - d. Quite Well (3) – 20%
 - e. Excellently (12) – 80%

2. to conduct discussions?
 - a. Not Applicable (0) – 0%
 - b. Poorly (0) – 0%
 - c. Adequately (0) – 0%
 - d. Quite Well (5) – 33.33%
 - e. Excellently (10) – 66.67%

3. to respond to questions and comments?
 - a. Not Applicable (0) – 0%
 - b. Poorly (0) – 0%
 - c. Adequately (0) – 0%
 - d. Quite Well (2) – 13.33%
 - e. Excellently (13) – 86.67%

4. to respond to written material?
 - a. Not Applicable (5) – 33.33%
 - b. Poorly (0) – 0%
 - c. Adequately (0) – 0%
 - d. Quite Well (2) – 13.33%
 - e. Excellently (8) – 53.33%

What were the special strong points of your course assistant?

1. Very good discussion leader. Made things make sense. Put things in a clear context
2. He was able to explain concepts clearly and relate them to other ideas.
3. I thought Lawrence did a good job of contextualizing the work.
4. Found very clear and helpful ways to explain a very dense text

5. He gave a very different perspective than Moati, which gave much more clarity
6. Great at explaining difficult source material
7. Lawrence was excellent at answering our questions about the text and elucidating obscurities.
8. He was able to clarify pretty obscure concepts in a straightforward, honest way.
9. Answering thoughtfully and thoroughly.
10. Good at explaining complicated topics in an easy to understand way.
11. He's able to explain things very clearly and is really helpful in contextualizing Heidegger.
12. Ability to simplify and point out ambiguities in different areas of the text.
13. Ability to switch between micro and macro levels of the course material—explaining specific parts of the text in light of the whole text and whole course—very clear and straightforward, practical.
14. He was incredibly helpful and patient during office hours
15. Lawrence is good at making concepts clear in a way that relates to Heidegger's overall project of fundamental ontology.

What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions?

1. Possibly could have fomented discussion better
2. Maybe present a slightly more organized recap of the material.
3. More time devoted to discussion
4. He was great
5. Nothing comes to mind. Lawrence was great
6. I think he did a great job. The only thing I can really think of would be supplementary handouts for discussion sections that expanded on difficulties in the text. But he did this without handouts.
7. Lawrence was really good. It's hard to think of things he could improve.
8. Not sure.

9. Not get too bogged down by tedious technicalities raised by some students. (This wasn't a big issue, just something small)
10. Nothing
11. Nothing
12. More specific paper-writing advice.
13. Nothing! Great!
14. Nothing in particular.

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: Being and Time Phil 2440 sec 3

Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dallman Quarter and Year: Autumn 2018

Today's Date: 11/30 Your Year: 4th Major: Philosophy

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Leading discussion
Working on paper topic

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant?

Very good discussion

leader made things make sense put things in
a clear context

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions?

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: PHIL 24⁴00 Section 3

Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dallman Quarter and Year: 1st Q 3rd Y

Today's Date: 11/30/18 Your Year: 3rd Major: Linguistics / Philosophy

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Leading discussion

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? He was able to

explain concepts clearly and relate them to
other ideas.

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? Possibly could have

fomented discussion better.



DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY
1115 EAST 58TH STREET
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637

TEL: (773) 702-8513
FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu
E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: Heidegger's Being and Time (PHIL 24400)/3

Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dallman Quarter and Year: Autumn 2018

Today's Date: 11/30/18 Your Year: 4th Major: CS/Philosophy

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Lead discussion sections

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? I thought Lawrence did

a good job of contextualizing the work.

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? Maybe present a slightly

more organized recap of the material.

PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO STUART 202.



DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY
1115 EAST 58TH STREET
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637

TEL: (773) 702-8513
FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu
E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: Heidegger, PHIL 2A400, section 3

Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dallman Quarter and Year: Fall 2013

Today's Date: 1/30 Your Year: 2nd year Major: Not decided

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Discussion section leader

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? Found very clear

and helpful ways to explain a very dense text

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? More time devoted

to discussion



DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY
1115 EAST 58TH STREET
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637

TEL: (773) 702-8513
FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu
E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: Being & Time, Phil 24400, Section 3

Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dallman Quarter and Year: Fall 2018

Today's Date: 11/30/2018 Your Year: 2020 Major: Econ

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Lead discussions on sections of Being & Time

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? He gave a very different perspective than Meach, which gave much more clarity

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? He was great

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: PHIL 24400 - 3

Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dallman Quarter and Year: Fall 2018

Today's Date: 11/30/2019 Your Year: 4 Major: Phil.

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Holding discussions, printing handouts?

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? Great at explaining difficult

source material

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? Nothing comes to mind,

Lawrence was great.

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: PHIL 2400 - Section #4

Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dallman Quarter and Year: Fall 2018

Today's Date: 30 NOVEMBER 2018 Your Year: 2 Major: Undeclared

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Lead discussion on sections about the week's lectures and readings

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? He was able to

clarify pretty obscure concepts in a straightforward, honest way.

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? Lawrence was

really good. It's hard to think of things he could improve.

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: PHIL 24400 - Section #4 (Heidegger Being and Time)

Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dallman Quarter and Year: 2018 Autumn

Today's Date: 29/11/18 Your Year: first year Major: Philosophy

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Discussion leading, answering ~~with~~ student questions

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? Answering thoughtfully and thoroughly

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? Not sure.

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: PHIL 24400 Section 4

Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Pollman Quarter and Year: Fall 2018

Today's Date: 11/30/18 Your Year: 3 Major: Phil

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Lead discussion section

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

- A. to explain the course material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
- B. to conduct discussions:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
- C. to respond to questions and comments:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
- D. to respond to written material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? Good at explaining complicated topics in a very easy to understand way.

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? Not get too bogged down by tedious technicalities raised by some students. (This wasn't a big issue, just something small)

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: Being and Time - Division 1

Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Ballman Quarter and Year: Fall 2018

Today's Date: Nov 30th Your Year: ~~2018~~ 3rd Major: Fundamentals

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? lead discussion sections and hold office hour

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? He's able to explain things very clearly and is help-ful in contextualizing Heidegger

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? Nothing

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: PHIL 24400

Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dallman Quarter and Year: ~~Spring~~ Fall 2018

Today's Date: 11/30/18 Your Year: 2nd Major: Undeclared

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Leading Discussion sections

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? Ability to simplify and point out ambiguities in different areas of the text

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? Nothing

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: Phil 24400

Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dallman Quarter and Year: Fall 2018

Today's Date: NOV 30, 2018 Your Year: 2nd Major: undeclared

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Leading discussion section,
advising on essays, essay grading

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? Ability to switch between

micro and macro levels of the course material — explaining specific
parts of the ~~course~~ text in light of the whole text and whole
course. very clear and straightforward, practical.

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? ~~specific advice on~~

more specific paper-writing advice.

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: Herzogger, 24400, section 4

Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dallman Quarter and Year: fall, 2018

Today's Date: 11/30 Your Year: 2nd Major: Fundamentals

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? lead discuss. sec.

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? He was incredibly helpful
and patient during office hours

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? Nothing! Great!

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: PHIL 24400 Section 4

Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dallman Quarter and Year: Autumn 2018

Today's Date: 2018/11/30 Your Year: 3 Major: Philosophy/math

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Primarily discussions, grading paper, holding
office hours.

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? Lawrence is good at making
concepts clear in a way that relate to Heidegger's overall project of fundamental
ontology.

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? Nothing in particular.