Course Assistant Feedback for Lawrence Dallman

Introduction to Analytic Philosophy

University of Chicago, Assistant to Benjamin Callard, Spring 2017

Rate your C.A.'s performance – how well was the C.A. able:

- 1. to explain the course material?
 - a. Not Applicable (o) o%
 - b. Poorly (o) 0%
 - c. Adequately (o) o%
 - d. Quite Well (1) 9.09%
 - e. Excellently (10) 90.91%
- 2. to conduct discussions?
 - a. Not Applicable (o) o%
 - b. Poorly (o) 0%
 - c. Adequately (1) 9.09%
 - d. Quite Well (3) 27.27%
 - e. Excellently (7) 63.63%
- 3. to respond to questions and comments?
 - a. Not Applicable (o) o%
 - b. Poorly (0) 0%
 - c. Adequately (0) 0%
 - d. Quite Well (0) 0%
 - e. Excellently (11) 100%
- 4. to respond to written material?
 - a. Not Applicable (o) o%
 - b. Poorly (0) 0%
 - c. Adequately (0) 0%
 - d. Quite Well (1) 9.09%
 - e. Excellently (10) 90.91%

What were the special strong points of your course assistant?

- 1. thoughtful, available, intelligent, good at leading discussion
- 2. helpful, very smart, nice, engaging
- 3. Good Leader, Good debater, Knowledgeable

- 4. Lawrence was very open to allowing us to lead discussion. This was excellent at some times but also made for slow discussion at others
- 5. Genuinely one of my favorite TAs in all four years here. Clearly deeply knowledgeable and passionate, gave fantastically detailed paper feedback, and interesting on course material and assorted discussions of the history and present state of the field.
- 6. Very knowledgeable, very accessible, gave useful and more-in-depth-than-normal feedback on papers.
- 7. Lawrence was great! Super approachable, very intelligent, & great grader. He made very dry philosophers more exciting & made group discussions very productive.
- 8. Being patient, nice, and making discussion interesting
- 9. Really good at answering questions and gave helpful comments on the paper.
- 10. CLEAR, DETAILED, THOUGHTFUL

What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions?

- 1. a bit of a slow grader but he left good comments
- 2. Not Much Was Very Good
- 3. None
- 4. Honestly nothing comes to mind.
- 5. Discussion was slow, inhibited in part by quiet people like myself and also Callard's facilitation of in-class discussion. Not Lawrence's fault, just an observation.
- 6. Nothing! Though I am interested in his dissertation can't wait to read Analytic Marx once it's published
- 7. Stimulating discussion with questions to discuss that aren't asked in class
- 8. Nothing really comes to mind.
- 9. FASTER GRADING, STIMULATING DISCUSSION BETTER



TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE
Course name, number and section: 2160 Into to Analytic Philosophy Se
Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Vallman Quarter and Year: Spring Lot T
Today's Date: 5/26/2617 Your Year: 3 Major: Philosophy
I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Leading dis Cussion grading
Papers
II. Rate your C.A.'s performance - how well was the C.A. able:
A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
II. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? \[\frac{1}{100064791} \frac{1}{1000647} \frac{1}{1000647} \qquad \qua
intelligent, good at leading discussion
V. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? a b. + of a slow_
grades but he left good comments.



TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

Course name, number and section: Latto to analytic	Philosophy 2160
Course Assistant's Name: <u>Rawence Dalipan</u> Quarter an	nd Year: Apring 17
Today's Date: 5-26 Your Year: 4 Major: Ph	
I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? <u>load</u> duccu	via, grado
papers	
II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C.A. able:	
A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applic	able)
B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applications)	able)
C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applies	able)
D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicate)	ble)
III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? Alpful	, very smart.
MCe, engaging	
7/ 197h - II - Louis Louis Louis Companions	
IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions?	



TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE
Course name, number and section: Ino To Analyte Philosophy 21601
Course Assistant's Name: Lanconer Pall MM Quarter and Year: Spring 1 17
Today's Date: S-76 Your Year: 30 Major: Major: Mosephy
I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course?
1st Kaper
II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C.A. able:
A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
II. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? Good Clade Course assistant?
V. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions?
Wys Very Good
()



TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

COURSE ASSISTANT Course name, number and section: awtence MC Quarter and Year Your Year: Today's Date: vicusie I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able: A. to explain the eourse material: (not applicable) poorly adequately quite well B. to conduct discussions: (not applicable) adequately poorly . quite well C. to respond to duestions and comments: (not applicable) poorly excellently quite well adequately D. to respond to written material: (not applicable) poorly adequately excellendy quite well chience III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? excellent mark IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions?



TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAII.: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

Course name, number and section: Phil 21601 Introduction to Analytic Philosophy
Course Assistant's Name: Laurence Dallman Quarter and Year: Spring 2017
Today's Date: 5/26/17 Your Year: "4th Major: Political Science"
I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Landry weekly discussion section
and grading pipers
II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C.A. able:
A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? Genurely one of my families
The in all Com nours here charly deeply knowledgeable
and account a fartastally detailed paper feed back, and interesting
on course naternal and assorted discussions of the history and present state of the DV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? Honestly nothing comes to
mind.



TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

Course name, number and section: Two he Anlyha Philosophy, 21601
Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dollman Quarter and Year: Spring 17
Today's Date: 5/36/17 Your Year: First (120) Major: Philosophy
I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Lead discussion, grade Rot paper
II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C.A. able:
A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant?
accessible, save useful and more in-depth-than-wormal Coedback on papers.
V. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? Discussion was glow, while it
put by quiet people like moself and ab. (Mod) facilitation of Mackow Dayson
Not Lauret failt, job en observalin



TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

Total la Dalla Philamba 21601 01
Course name, number and section: Introduction to Analytic Philosophy 21601 01
Course Assistant's Name: Laurence Dallong Quarter and Year: Spring 2017
Today's Date: 5/26/2017 Your Year: 4th Major: Poli Sci & Philosophy
I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Discussion Section + Office. How
II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C.A. able:
A. to explain the course material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
B. to conduct discussions:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
D. to respond to written material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? Lawrence was great! Super
appoulable, very inteligent of great grader. He made very dry
shilosopher nue excitory & made a amo discussion un productive.
V. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? Wothing hough I con
interested in his dissertation - per cent wait to read Analyte Marx
nce its published



TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

Course name, number and section: Introduction to Analytic Philosophy	21601	section Of
Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dallman Quarter and Year	®	Spring 2017
Today's Date: 5/26/17 Your Year: '2 Major: CHDV		1
I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course?	HCh	
II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C.A. able:	<u> </u>	
A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)		•
B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)		.
C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)	•	
D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)		
III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant?	· ·	
Being patient, nice, and making discussion into	- resi	hng
V. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions?		
that recent asked in class	ians to	discuss
that reren't asked in class		



TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dalman	Quarter and Year: Spring 2017
oday's Date: 5/26/2017 Your Year: Fourth	•
What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Facilitating	discussion about the cours
waterill/	
Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C.A. able:	
A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adequately poorly	(not applicable)
B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately poorly	(not applicable)
C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately poorly	(not applicable)
D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adequately poorly	(not applicable)
What were the special strong points of your course assistant?	
What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? _	
	•



DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY 1115 EAST 58TH STREET

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637

TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

Course name, number and section: Intro to Analytic Philosophy, 21601, Fr. 1:30
Course Assistant's Name: Laurence Dallman Quarter and Year: Spring 2017
Today's Date: 05/26/17 Your Year: 3rd Major: Philosophy
I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Led discussion; graded papers
II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C.A. able:
A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? <u>leally good at answering</u> The questions and gave helpful comments on the
paper
V. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? Nothing really comes
to mind.



TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

Course name, number and section: PHIL 21601: INTRO TO ANALYTIC PHIL
Course Assistant's Name: LAWRENCE DAUMAIN Quarter and Year: SPRINE 201
Today's Date: 05/26/2017 Your Year: 3RP Major: PHILOSOPHY
I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? DISCUSSION, PAPER GRADING
II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C.A. able:
A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
D. to respond to written material: (excellently) quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
II. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? CLEAR, DETAILED,
THOUGHTFUL
V. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? FASTER GRADING,
STIMULATING DISCUSSION BETTER