

Course Assistant Feedback for Lawrence Dallman

Introduction to Analytic Philosophy

University of Chicago, Assistant to Benjamin Callard, Spring 2017

Rate your C.A.'s performance – how well was the C.A. able:

1. to explain the course material?
 - a. Not Applicable (0) – 0%
 - b. Poorly (0) – 0%
 - c. Adequately (0) – 0%
 - d. Quite Well (1) – 9.09%
 - e. Excellently (10) – 90.91%

2. to conduct discussions?
 - a. Not Applicable (0) – 0%
 - b. Poorly (0) – 0%
 - c. Adequately (1) – 9.09%
 - d. Quite Well (3) – 27.27%
 - e. Excellently (7) – 63.63%

3. to respond to questions and comments?
 - a. Not Applicable (0) – 0%
 - b. Poorly (0) – 0%
 - c. Adequately (0) – 0%
 - d. Quite Well (0) – 0%
 - e. Excellently (11) – 100%

4. to respond to written material?
 - a. Not Applicable (0) – 0%
 - b. Poorly (0) – 0%
 - c. Adequately (0) – 0%
 - d. Quite Well (1) – 9.09%
 - e. Excellently (10) – 90.91%

What were the special strong points of your course assistant?

1. thoughtful, available, intelligent, good at leading discussion
2. helpful, very smart, nice, engaging
3. Good Leader, Good debater, Knowledgeable

4. Lawrence was very open to allowing us to lead discussion. This was excellent at some times but also made for slow discussion at others
5. Genuinely one of my favorite TAs in all four years here. Clearly deeply knowledgeable and passionate, gave fantastically detailed paper feedback, and interesting on course material and assorted discussions of the history and present state of the field.
6. Very knowledgeable, very accessible, gave useful and more-in-depth-than-normal feedback on papers.
7. Lawrence was great! Super approachable, very intelligent, & great grader. He made very dry philosophers more exciting & made group discussions very productive.
8. Being patient, nice, and making discussion interesting
9. Really good at answering questions and gave helpful comments on the paper.
10. CLEAR, DETAILED, THOUGHTFUL

What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions?

1. a bit of a slow grader but he left good comments
2. Not Much Was Very Good
3. None
4. Honestly nothing comes to mind.
5. Discussion was slow, inhibited in part by quiet people like myself and also Callard's facilitation of in-class discussion. Not Lawrence's fault, just an observation.
6. Nothing! Though I am interested in his dissertation – can't wait to read Analytic Marx once it's published
7. Stimulating discussion with questions to discuss that aren't asked in class
8. Nothing really comes to mind.
9. FASTER GRADING, STIMULATING DISCUSSION BETTER

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: 21601 Intro to Analytic Philosophy Sec 2

Course Assistant's Name: Laurence Dallman Quarter and Year: Spring 2017

Today's Date: 5/26/2017 Your Year: 3rd Major: Philosophy

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Leading discussion, grading papers

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? thoughtful, available,

intelligent, good at leading discussion

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? a bit of a slow

grader but he left good comments



DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY
1115 EAST 58TH STREET
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637

TEL: (773) 702-8513
FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu
E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: Intro to Analytic Philosophy 21601

Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dalton Quarter and Year: Spring 17

Today's Date: 5-26 Your Year: 4 Major: Phil Allied Fields

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Lead discussion, grade papers

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? helpful, very smart, nice, engaging

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? _____



DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY
1115 EAST 58TH STREET
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637

TEL: (773) 702-8513
FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu
E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: Intro To Analytic Philosophy 21601, 2

Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Pallum Quarter and Year: Spring '17

Today's Date: 5-26 Your Year: 3rd Major: Philosophy

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Discussion +
1st Paper

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

- A. to explain the course material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
- B. to conduct discussions:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
- C. to respond to questions and comments:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
- D. to respond to written material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? Good leader
Good debater, knowledgeable

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? Not Much
Was Very Good

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: Analytic Philosophy 21601

Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dalman Quarter and Year: Spring 2017

Today's Date: 08/26 Your Year: 4th Major: Econ/Philosophy

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Provide guidance and feedback on papers and lead weekly discussion sections.

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

- A. to explain the course material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
- B. to conduct discussions:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
- C. to respond to questions and comments:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
- D. to respond to written material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? Lawrence was very open to allowing us to lead discussion. This was excellent at some times but also made for slow discussion at others

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? None



DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY
1115 EAST 58TH STREET
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637

TEL: (773) 702-8513
FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu
E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: Phil 21601 Introduction to Analytic Philosophy

Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dullman Quarter and Year: Spring 2017

Today's Date: 5/26/17 Your Year: 4th Major: Political Science

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Leading weekly discussion section and grading papers

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? Genuinely one of my favorite

TAs in all four years here. Clearly deeply knowledgeable and passionate, gave fantastically detailed paper feedback, and interesting on course material and assorted discussions of the history and present state of the world.

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? Honestly nothing comes to mind.



DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY
1115 EAST 58TH STREET
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637

TEL: (773) 702-8513
FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu
E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: Intro to Analytic Philosophy, 21601

Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dallman Quarter and Year: Spring 17

Today's Date: 5/16/17 Your Year: First (2020) Major: Philosophy

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Lead discussion, grade first paper

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? Very knowledgeable, ~~was~~ very

accessible, gave useful and more-in-depth-than-normal feedback on papers.

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? Discussion was slow, inhibited in

part by quiet people like myself and ab. Calrod's facilitation of in-class discussion.

Not Lawrence's fault, just an observation.



DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY
1115 EAST 58TH STREET
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637

TEL: (773) 702-8513
FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu
E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: Introduction to Analytic Philosophy 21601 01

Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dillman Quarter and Year: Spring 2017

Today's Date: 5/26/2017 Your Year: 4th Major: PoliSci & Philosophy

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Discussion Section + Office Hours

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:
excellent quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:
excellent quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:
excellent quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:
excellent quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? Lawrence was great! Super approachable, very intelligent & great grader. He made very dry philosophes more exciting & made group discussions very productive.

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? Nothing! Though I am interested in his dissertation - I can't wait to read Analytic Marx once it's published



DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY
1115 EAST 58TH STREET
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637

TEL: (773) 702-8513
FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu
E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: Introduction to Analytic Philosophy 21601 Section 01

Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dallman Quarter and Year: Spring 2017

Today's Date: 5/26/17 Your Year: 2 Major: CHDV

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? to lead discussion section

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? _____

Being patient, nice, and making discussion interesting

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? _____

Starting a stimulating discussion with questions to discuss that weren't asked in class



DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY
1115 EAST 58TH STREET
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637

TEL: (773) 702-8513
FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu
E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: Intro to Analytic Philosophy 21601

Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dallman Quarter and Year: Spring 2017

Today's Date: 5/26/2017 Your Year: Fourth Major: Philosophy / Polisci

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Facilitating discussion about the course material

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant?

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions?



DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY
1115 EAST 58TH STREET
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637

TEL: (773) 702-8513
FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu
E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: Intro to Analytic Philosophy, 21601, Fri. ~~1:30~~ 1:30 section

Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dallman Quarter and Year: Spring 2017

Today's Date: 05/26/17 Your Year: 3rd Major: Philosophy

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Led discussion, graded papers

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? Really good at answering
my questions and gave helpful comments on the
paper.

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? Nothing really comes
to mind.



DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY
1115 EAST 58TH STREET
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637

TEL: (773) 702-8513
FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu
E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: PHIL 21601: INTRO TO ANALYTIC PHIL

Course Assistant's Name: LAWRENCE DALLMAN Quarter and Year: SPRING 2017

Today's Date: 05/26/2017 Your Year: 3RD Major: PHILOSOPHY

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? DISCUSSION, PAPER GRADING

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? CLEAR, DETAILED,

THOUGHTFUL

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? FASTER GRADING,

STIMULATING DISCUSSION. BETTER