Course Assistant Feedback for Lawrence Dallman

Kant and the 19th Century

University of Chicago, Assistant to Matthew Boyle, Spring 2018

Rate your C.A.'s performance – how well was the C.A. able:

- 1. to explain the course material?
 - a. Not Applicable (o) o%
 - b. Poorly (o) 0%
 - c. Adequately (0) 0%
 - d. Quite Well (3) 16.67%
 - e. Excellently (15) 83.33%
- 2. to conduct discussions?
 - a. Not Applicable (o) o%
 - b. Poorly (o) 0%
 - c. Adequately (1) 5.55%
 - d. Quite Well (6) 33.33%
 - e. Excellently (11) 61.11%
- 3. to respond to questions and comments?
 - a. Not Applicable (o) o%
 - b. Poorly (o) 0%
 - c. Adequately (1) 5.55%
 - d. Quite Well (3) 16.67%
 - e. Excellently (14) 77.78%
- 4. to respond to written material?
 - a. Not Applicable (2) 11.11%
 - b. Poorly (o) o%
 - c. Adequately (1) 5.55%
 - d. Quite Well (4) 22.22%
 - e. Excellently (11) 61.11%

What were the special strong points of your course assistant?

- 1. He created a friendly environment to facilitate discussion, putting us at ease to share our uncertainties on themes
- 2. Very approachable, clear descriptions
- 3. Very good at running sections + explaining the material

- 4. He was very friendly and raised interesting points. Had good comments on papers.
- 5. Lawrence is very open to all sorts of ideas and questions, and did a great job of listening to students' questions and concerns. He is very good at leading discussions where students can be involved.
- 6. Good at explaining material, helpful w/ essays
- 7. good at explaining motivations for phil. positions and providing intuition behind certain claims
- 8. Humor, Marx Expertise
- 9. He did a really great job clarifying material from lecture.
- 10. Answering questions, written feedback. Clarifying confusions
- 11. He kept the conversation going when it began to lag.
- 12. explains Marx, Nietzsche, Hegel well on things that we didn't understand, good at making interesting points
- 13. Very knowledgeable about the material, good at answering specific questions
- 14. giving a richer context and description of the work
- 15. Explained materials, clearly had a good grasp on material, gives background/outside info
- 16. Lawrence is incredibly thoughtful and passionate about the material. He is patient w/ all of his students and makes students feel comfortable in participating
- 17. Very good at explaining different material in discussion, understanding of student's needs

What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions?

- 1. Could have presented better overview/structure to philosophers, especially since we covered so many; when asked a Q about a term to allow us to place it in larger philosophical structure of the thinker.
- 2. Perhaps more personal takes on the course materials?
- 3. Grading comments were a bit skimpy, especially in a class where you can get an A- w/ no criticism
- 4. Lawrence could be more assertive in his own opinions! It was always good to hear his thoughts and comments on the texts.

- 5. Referenced more places in the text during discussion
- 6. Come w/ pre-selected questions.
- 7. Nothing ... maybe more specific feedback on essays.
- 8. Sometimes conversation lulled. Some more guidance could have helped
- 9. Kept students from hopping from one subject to the next too quickly.
- 10. flow of discussion sometimes, in middle of section
- 11. Could be better at leading discussion/asking questions for us to discuss, mostly relied on our questions
- 12. IDK
- 13. Gone over more concepts in discussion.



TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

J--

| Course name, number and section: PHIL 27600 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dallman Quarter and Year: Spring 2018 |
| Today's Date: My 25 2018 Your Year: 3 Major: Philosophy |
| I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? <u>facilitate discussion on texts</u> |
| and clarify notions brought up in lecture/reading |
| II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C.A. able: |
| A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? He created a friendly |
| animment to facilitate discursion, putting us at |
| early to shave our uncertainties on Hemes |
| IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? Ond have presented |
| lade a proposition / character to philosophers, Es pecially since |
| we covered so many, when asked a Q about a ferm to |
| we covered so many when asked a Q about a term to allow us to place it in larger philosophical structure of the thinker. |
| of the thinker. |



TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259

- 7.4

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

| COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION OF Philosop | バ: FOR ST 19 年 - 10 | PHIL 27000 | VIPLETE |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Course name, number and section: Kant f Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence | ŧ | Quarter and Year: | Spring 2018 |
| | | | • |
| Today's Date: 5/25/2218 Your Year: 3 I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? | Grading | ; Leadly the | discuss. |
| II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C.A. | able: | | |
| A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adequately | poorly | (not applicable) | |
| B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately | poorly | (not applicable) | |
| C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately | poorly | (not applicable) | |
| D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adequately | poorly | (not applicable) | |
| II. What were the special strong points of your course assist | ant? | | |
| | 1 | | |
| V. What could your course assistant have done better? Sugg | estions? <u>fer</u> | hay)s more | personal. |
| ************************************** | 122 | atariale ? | |



TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

- 7.1

| Course name, number and section: Hist of Phil 3, 27000, | * |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Course Assistant's Name: Louvelle Dallmon Quarter and Year: Sprin | 10 8 PM |
| Today's Date: May 25 Your Year: 4th Major: ENGL | |
| I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Lead Discussion, Grad | <u>)e</u> |
| | |
| II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C.A. able: | , |
| A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) | , |
| B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) | • |
| C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) | |
| D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) | Jo |
| III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? Very approach at dear descriptions | <u> </u> |
| | |
| V. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? | programme de la companya de la compa |



TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhosr.uchicago.edu

| Course name, number and section: Hot Ph. 1 III F1:50 Ph: 127000 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Course Assistant's Name: Quarter and Year: Quarter and Year: \[\frac{18}{2} \] |
| Today's Date: Stass Your Year: This lose by |
| I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Roning sections, goding |
| II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C.A. able: |
| A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? Den good at runoing rections T |
| |
| V. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? Credy comediates a 6:1 |
| shippy especially in a class when you can get an At I as carticism |
| |



TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

- 17.7

| Course name, number and section: History of Philosophy 3; 27000 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dallman Quarter and Year: Spring 2018 Today's Date: 5/25 Your Year: 444 Major: Math/Philosophy |
| Today's Date: 5/25 Your Year: 4th Major: Moth/Philosophy |
| I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Grade Papers and |
| <u>run</u> tutorial |
| II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C.A. able: |
| A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| D. to respond to written material: (excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? He was very friendly and raised interesting |
| friendly and raised interesting points. Had good comments on papers. |
| V. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? |
| |



TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

| Course name, number and section: PHIL 27000 (Spring 2018) (w/ Prof. Bryle) |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dallman Quarter and Year: Spring 2018 |
| Today's Date: 5/25/18 Your Year: 3rd Major: Phul. & Alled Pields |
| I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? To lead weekly disnussions up along side lechnes; to grade unthou materials (3 assignments), to meet for office hours |
| II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C.A. able: |
| A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? Lawrence is very quento all surts of |
| ideas and questions, and did a great job of asterning to students' questions |
| and concerns. He's very good at leading discussions where shedents can |
| hemiolocal. |
| V. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? |
| asserbire in his um opiniens! Ituer always good to hear his thoughts |
| and conners of the texts |



TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu

E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

| Course name, number and section: History Philosophy III, 27000 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Course Assistant's Name: Lawronce Dallman Quarter and Year: Spring 201 |
| Today's Date: 5/25/18 Your Year: Third Major: Fulosophy / Psycholo |
| I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? <u>Lead dis WS Sian</u> , Help W |
| papers, grade papers |
| II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C.A. able: |
| A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? Good at expluing |
| moderial, helpful a/ essays |
| |
| IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? Referenced More |
| places in the text during discussion |
| \mathcal{O} |



TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

| Course name, number and section: History of Phil-ID+30 (2>0) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Course Assistant's Name: Dallace Quarter and Year: Quarter and Year: |
| Today's Date: 5/25/2018 Your Year: 4 Major: Philosophy I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? 1ecl lives: 5/2018 |
| Comments on fres |
| II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C.A. able: |
| A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? |
| motivations for phil, positions and providing intition |
| Gehird certain claims |
| IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? |
| |



TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

- :. -

| Course name, number and section: PHIL # 27000, Scotion: Falling an |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dallman Quarter and Year: Q3 2013 |
| Today's Date: 5/25/18 Your Year: 3 Major: Philosophy |
| I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? |
| II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C.A. able: |
| A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? Homor, Marx Experits. |
| |
| V. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? |
| pre-selecter questions |
| |



TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhosr.uchicago.edu

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

.......

| Course name, number and section: History of philosophy | 27000 | 002 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dallman | Quarter and Year: | 2018 spring |
| Today's Date: 5/25/18 Your Year: third Ma | or: <u>neuroscien</u> | e & philosopi |
| I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? <u>lead discus</u> | sim each wee | <u>K.</u> |
| II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C.A. able: | | |
| A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adequately poorly | (not applicable) | · ; |
| B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately poorly | (not applicable) | • |
| C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately poorly | (nor applicable) | |
| D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adequately poorly | (not applicable) | <i>:</i> |
| III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? He clarifying majerial from lecture. | did a really gr | eat job |
| Tai 1 ying material as | | |
| V. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? No. | thing maybe | more |
| specific feeback on essays. | | |



TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

- - 4

| Course name, number and section: History of Philosoph | W. Kant & 18005, 27000; SIDD |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Course Assistant's Name: Lawrece Dallman | Quarter and Year: Spring 2018 |
| Today's Date: 5/ \5/\8 Your Year: | Major: Phil/Econ |
| I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? | discussion Sections ceptly as |
| readings & answ greations. | |
| II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C.A. able: | |
| A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adequately poorly | (not applicable) |
| B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately poorly | (not applicable) |
| C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately poorly | (not applicable) |
| D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adequately poorly | (not applicable) |
| III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? | Anoming questions, written |
| Fee & buck, Clarifying confusions | \ |
| V. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? | Sometimes Conversation Willed Some |
| more gridance Could have beloed | |
| • | |



TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

| COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FO | KOTODEI 110 10 COMILEDIE |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Course name, number and section: History 1 ph. los ph 3 | 27000 1. 1B.02 |
| Course Assistant's Name: Lawren Pallaca | Quarter and Year: Spring 2018 |
| Today's Date: 5/25 Your Year: 4th | Major: Religous Fusiés |
| Today's Date: | discussion, grade paper |
| II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C.A. able: | |
| A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adequately poorly | y (not applicable) |
| B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately poorly | y (not applicable) |
| C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately poorly | 7 (not applicable) |
| D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adequately poorly | (not applicable) |
| III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? He kepf the conversation forms | nten it began to lay. |
| | 7 |
| V. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? Nept 5 Fidents from hoppin. | Com one Subject le |
| the next too guickly | |



TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

- 7.7

| Course name, number and section: History of Philosophy, 27, 1002 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Course Assistant's Name: human Dammon Quarter and Year: Spring 2018 |
| Today's Date: Way 25 12618 Your Year: 15t Major: N/A |
| I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? <u>Settion leader and leads</u> |
| distussions |
| II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C.A. able: |
| A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? <u>explains Marx, Wietake,</u> |
| Head well on this that we didn't understand, good at |
| making interesting points. |
| IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? + www. of dissussions. |
| in sometimes, and in middle of section. |
| |



TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhosr.uchicago.edu

| Course name, number and section: History & Philosophy 3, Phil 27000, 1002 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Palman Quarter and Year: Spring 2018 |
| Today's Date: 5/25 Your Year: 2 Major: Physies, Philosophy |
| I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? <u>Leading discussion section</u> , |
| grading and helping as with our papers/material |
| II. Rate your C.A.'s performance – how well was the C.A. able: |
| A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| C. to respond to questions and comments: (excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? Very Knowledgeably |
| about the material, good at answering spectro |
| questions |
| V. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? Could be be Her at |
| leading discussion lasking questions for us |
| V. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? <u>Could be better at</u> leading discussion /asking questions for us to discuss, mostly relied on our grestions |



TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259

- 7.2

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu

E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

| COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Course name, number and section: Hist of Phil 3 27000 7 VOZ |
| Course Assistant's Name: LOUNCIE La Managuarter and Year: SPN NJ 2dl |
| Today's Date: May 25th Lower Year: 21 Major: Major: Marin |
| I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? |
| <u>Cection</u> |
| II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C.A. able: |
| A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? |
| of the west |
| IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? |
| |



DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY

1115 EAST 58TH STREET CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637 SID02

TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259

- '---

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE Course name, number and section: Kant and the 19th cen Dallman Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Quarter and Year: 👱 Your Year: 3rd Today's Date: _5/25 Major: ___ I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Acctions II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able: A. to explain the course material: (not applicable) adequately poorly quite well excellently B. to conduct discussions: (not applicable) adequately poorly : excellently \(\) quite well C. to respond to questions and comments: (not applicable) poorly adequately excellently quite well D. to respond to written material: (not applicable) poorly excellently __duite well adequately III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions?



DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY 1115 EAST 58TH STREET

11 15 EAST 58TH STREET CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637

TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259

- 77

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu

E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

| COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Course name, number and section: HOSTOPHIL 27000 HIST FPII 1401D0Z |
| Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dall Manquarter and Year: Spring 18 |
| Today's Date: S/25/186 Your Year: 3 Major: PM OSO Phy 3 PLSC |
| I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? William Cliscussis |
| sections and grading assignments |
| II. Rate your C.A.'s performance how well was the C.A. able: |
| 11. Rate your C.A. 8 performance now wen was the C.A. able: |
| A. to explain the course material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| B. to conduct discussions: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| C. to respond to questions and comments: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| D. to respond to written material: excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable) |
| III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? |
| meredibly Thoughtful and passionate |
| bout the Material. He is partient we all of its students and mater students Feel comportable in partici |
| V. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? |
| gone over mere unupt in discussion. |
| 1/19 |



TEL: (773) 702-8513 FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu E-MAII.: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

. .

| Course Assistant's Name: Lawrence Dellman Lawrence Dellman | | Quarter and Year: | mg 2018 | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|
| oday's Date: 8/05/16 | Your Year: | ond . | lajor: undeclared | <u> </u> |
| What were the C.A.'s primary | duties in this course? | DISCUSSIO | n sections, gradi | ng, office |
| Rate your C.A.'s performance | • | | | |
| A. to explain the course n | naterial: iite well adequate | ly poorly | (not applicable) | |
| B. to conduct discussions: excellently qu C. to respond to questions | ite well adequate | ly poorly . | (not applicable) | • |
| | ite well adequatel | y poorly | (not applicable) | |
| | . 11 1 1 | y poorly | (not applicable) | A -'0 - |
| What were the special strong p | ooints of your course as | ssistant? | returning of 8. | wdent 's |
| needs | | * 1 | | |
| What could your course assista | nt have done better? S | uggestions? | MA | |